CIVIL COVER SHEET

DEFENDANTS

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Rosalene Isaac				W. Atlee E	Burpee & Compar	ly Table
					ing Warehousing	T
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bucks (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Albert J. Miche	ddress, and Telephone Number, P.C.	•)		Attorneys (If Known))	
PO Box 4062	•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 = 0 0			
Philadelphia,	PA 19118 Te	el#215.922.2				<u> </u>
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF I (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	Y 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citize		PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	_
 U.S. Government Defendant U.S. Government U.S. Gove		of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	7)Radium urd/ponalum	BANKRUPI (CY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 	 □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionme □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking
& Enforcement of Judgment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers'	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability			PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability		I.A.B.(O)R	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organization 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodit
 ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract 	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	PERSONAL PROPER' ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	-7 72	10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations	 □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) 	Exchange 390 Other Statutory Action 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matter
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	1 75	10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act 895 Freedom of Informate Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Process
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appearage Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 	I	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Applicati 65 Other Immigration	ion	
	Other 1 448 Education	 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 		Actions		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X")	in One Box Only)					•
	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened Anot (spec		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Title VII Brief description of c	atute under which you ar 42 U.S.C. ause:	2000	et seq	,	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: Yes D No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		·	DOCKET NUMBER	
12/24/2015		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						

APPLYING IFP

AMOUNT

RECEIPT#

MAG. JUDGE

JUDGE

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 2 of 17

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

		E-Mail Address	
215.922.2588	215.922.2590	amichell@netcarrier	.com
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
12/24/2015		sq. Plaintiff Cynthia S	antiag
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by	. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury o	or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbitra	tion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases rand Human Services den	requesting review of a decision sying plaintiff Social Security B	of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	()
	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241		()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAGI	EMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Casfiling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par to which that defendant belief	se Management Track Designate a copy on all defendants. (See vent that a defendant does not hall, with its first appearance, sties, a Case Management Track eves the case should be assigned		verse s said ve on
d l Staffing Warehousin		NO.	al for
Atlee Burpee & Compa	ny		
salene Isaacs	• • •	CIVIL ACTION	

.

.

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 3 of 17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM assignment to appropriate calendar.	I to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose (
Address of Plaintiff: 2027 North 17th Street, Phila	delphia, PA 19121
300 Park Avenue, Warminster, Address of Defendant: 3070 Bristol Pike, Building 1	PA 189/4 (Burpee)
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 300 Park Avenue, War	STATTIN
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: SUU Palk Aveilue, Wal (Use Reverse Side	For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corpora	tion and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P.	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within	one year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes No X
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a action in this court?	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any ea	Yes No X Arlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Vec No St
	it rights case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil	Yes□ NoX
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. Datent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🕱 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Decurities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION C. (Check Appropriate L. Albert J. Michell, Esq., counsel of record do hereb Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledges 150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	riate Category) y certify:
DATE: 12/24/2015	
DATE: 12/24/2015 Albert J. Michell, Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.# v.if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury onl	······································
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pend except as noted above.	ing or within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 12/24/2015 Albert J. Michell, Attorney-at-Law	Esquire 76797 Attorney I.D.#

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 4 of

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROSALENE ISAAC : CIVIL ACTION

2027 North 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19121

Plaintiff

DOCKET NO.:

v.

W. ATLEE BURPEE & COMPANY,

d/b/a BURPEE

300 Park Avenue

Warminster, PA 18974

and

ALL STAFFING WAREHOUSING

LOGISTICS, INC.,

d/b/a ALL STAFFING WAREHOUSING

3070 Bristol Pike

Building 1, Suite 205

Bensalem, PA 19020

Defendants

COMPLAINT

Comes now the Plaintiff, Rosalene Isaac, by and through her counsel, Albert J. Michell, Esquire, and for her complaint and cause of action, states as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Rosalene Isaac (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult female resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania living at 2027 North 17th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19121.
- 2. Defendant, W. Atlee Burpee & Company, d/b/a Burpee (hereinafter "Burpee") is a corporation organized under laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a person as defined in 42 U.S.C. Sec 2000e-2(a) with its principal place of business located at 300 Park Avenue, Warminster, PA 18974.
- 3. Defendant, All Staffing Warehousing Logistics, Inc., d/b/a All Staffing Warehousing

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 5

(hereinafter "ASW") is a corporation organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a person as defined in 42 U.S.C. Sec 2000e-2(a) with its principal place of business located at 3070 Bristol Pike, Building 1, Suite 205, Bensalem, PA 19020.

- 4. Defendants Burpee and ASW, hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants" are properly considered a single or joint employer because they are intermingled, related, and act in concert amongst themselves.
- 5. At all times relevant to this action Defendants were each "engaged in an industry affecting commerce" within the meaning of Sections 701(g) and 701(h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e(g) and 2000e(h) (hereinafter "Title VII").
- 6. For each working day and for each of twenty or more calender weeks in the current calender year, 2015, and at all other times relevant hereto, Defendants each employed twenty or more persons.
- 7. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were an "employer" within the meaning of Section 701(b) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e(b).
- 8. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were an "employer" within the meaning of Section 4 of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. Section 954 (hereinafter "PHRA").
- 9. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were an "employer" of Plaintiff within the meaning of Section 5 of the PHRA, 43 P.S. Section 955.

JURISDICTION

10. Jurisdiction of this court comes under 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331 (federal question) based on Ms. Isaac's claims under Sections 703(a) and 706(f)(1) of title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-2(a) and 2000e-5(f)(1) ("Title VII") (employment discrimination). The state law components of this action arise under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.C. Sections 953, 955 and 962(c)(1) ("PHRA"). This Court has supplemental subject matter jurisdiction over the state law components of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a).

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 6

VENUE

11. Venue in this district is proper because the discriminatory acts complained of occurred in Warminster and Bensalem, Pennsylvania, and Defendants are based in Warminster and Bensalem, Pennsylvania.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

12. On December 23, 2014, within 180 days of the occurrence of the acts of discrimination of which she complains, Plaintiff Rosalene Isaac filed complaint against her employers with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging sex-based employment discrimination and retaliation.

Said complaints were concurrently filed with the Pennsylvania Humans Relations Commission ("PHRC").

The administrative agencies have held exclusive jurisdiction over Plaintiff's complaints for over 1 year.

To date, the EEOC and PHRC have not reached a conciliation agreement to which Plaintiff is a party.

On or about October 19, 2015, Plaintiff received from the EEOC Notices of Right To Sue for her charges, allowing her to sue in Federal Court within ninety (90) days. (See attached hereto and incorporated herein as Plaintiff's Exhibit "A", Notice of Right To Sue letters.)

FACTS

- 13. On September 26, 2014 Plaintiff began employment with ASW, a temporary employment agency, which immediately placed her as a temporary employee with Burpee at its plant/seed facility located at 300 Park Avenue, Warminster, PA 18974.
- 14. Plaintiff was a Packer in Burpee's warehouse whose duties included packaging, among

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 7 o

other things, plant and seed containers.

- 15. Defendants Burpee and ASW have and had a contractual relationship in which ASW provides temporary employees to Burpee in exchange for payment based on the number of hours the employee works at Burpee.
- 16. Plaintiff worked together and interacted with ASW employees as her co-workers and supervisors as well as Burpee permanent employees as her co-workers and supervisors.
- 17. Plaintiff, an hourly employee, clocked in and out of work using Burpee's time clock machine.
- 18. Plaintiff received and was subject to an ASW employee handbook as well as a Burpee employee handbook.
- 19. ASW's handbook addressed and directed its employees, including Plaintiff, on issues including but not limited to, at will employment, standards of conduct and work rules, attendance, workers compensation, and termination process.
- 20. Burpee's handbook directly addressed and directed its temporary employees, including Plaintiff, on issues such as start and stop times, time keeping, overtime, breaks/lunch, reporting an absence, inclement weather, social media, cell phone policy, lockers, dress code, good housekeeping, communicable diseases, and safety. The handbook provided temporary employees with Burpee phone numbers to contact in cases of inclement weather and absences.
- 21. ASW issued paychecks to Plaintiff based on her hours worked at Burpee's facility.
- 22. Plaintiff worked exclusively for Burpee at its facility.
- 23. Plaintiff's direct supervisor in her position of Packer was Jose Gonzales, believed and therefore averred to be an employee of Burpee (hereinafter "Mr. Gonzales").
- 24. Plaintiff, an hourly employee, was an excellent worker.
- 25. While on the job as Plaintiff's supervisor Mr. Gonzales would on a daily basis consistently sexually harass Plaintiff by winking at her, grabbing her arm and pulling her hair, and touching her body, including her breasts and buttocks.
- 26. Mr. Gonzales also made sexual comments to Plaintiff on a regular basis, including but not limited to telling her what he would do to her and the different ways he would have sex with her.

- 27. Plaintiff rejected Mr. Gonzales' physical assaults and verbal advances, but he nevertheless continued his behavior.
- 28. Gonzales sexually harassed numerous other female employees at the facility as well, including but not limited to Katherine (LNU), Laura (LNU), Maria (LNU) and Jennifer (LNU).
- 29. Plaintiff then complained of Gonzales' conduct to Sean Kovacs (hereinafter "Mr. Kovacs"), site supervisor for ASW on or about October 15, 2014.
- 30. Mr. Kovacs told Plaintiff not to tell anyone else about the harassment and that he would address the matter.
- 31. Plaintiff also reported Mr. Gonzales' sexually harassing conduct to supervisors Lou (LNU) and Carol (LNU), both Burpee employers.
- 32. The harassment by Mr. Gonzales continued unabated even after Plaintiff talked to Mr. Kovacs.
- 33. As a result, on or about October 22, 2014 Plaintiff complained of Mr. Gonzales' conduct to the president of ASW, Phil Lepre (hereinafter "Mr. Lepre").
- 34. At Mr. Lepre's behest Plaintiff subsequently met with him and the company's attorney Taylor Fletcher (hereinafter "Mr. Fletcher") on or about October 25, 2014 and Plaintiff explained the conduct of Mr. Gonzales and the fact that it continued even after she had reported it to Mr. Kovacs.
- 35. On or about October 26, 2014 Mr. Lepre and Mr. Fletcher held a meeting with Plaintiff and female ASW workers who worked in the vicinity of Mr. Gonzales.
- 36. During the above meeting Mr. Lepre and Mr. Fletcher met with the other female employees separately and individually.
- 37. Approximately two days later Mr. Gonzales was transferred to another Burpee location next door to the facility in which Plaintiff worked.
- 38. Immediately after this, Plaintiff began to be harassed by Burpee and ASW co-workers, including but not limited to two individuals named Jennifer (LNU) and an individual named Ann (LNU), all of whom blamed plaintiff for having Mr. Gonzales removed from the site, referring to her as a "snitch".
- Other co-workers stopped talking to Plaintiff because of what happened to Mr. Gonzales.

- 40. Plaintiff reported this harassment to Mr. Kovacs, to no avail.
- Plaintiff reported this harassment to Mr. Lepre and shortly after doing so, on or about November 4, 2014 Plaintiff was falsely issued a Notice of Disciplinary Action by Sean Kovacs disciplining her for reporting the harassment to Phil Lepre instead of him, her immediate supervisor.
- 42. On or about November 19, 2014 one of the above Burpee employees named Jennifer (LNU) called Plaintiff a liar, indicated that Mr. Gonzalez was a good person, and began cursing at Plaintiff, and called her a bitch; all in front of Mr. Kovacs, who said nothing.
- Jennifer physically approached Plaintiff and intimidated and challenged her.
- Plaintiff thereupon fled to the bathroom and called the police.
- The police arrived and Plaintiff was told to go home by Mr. Kovacs and Burpee management.
- 46. Plaintiff was subsequently called by Mr. Lepre and ordered to report to his office, which she did.
- Plaintiff was met by, among others, Mr. Lepre and his daughter Brittany Lepre (hereinafter "Ms. Lepre"), who is Human Resources Manager with ASW.
- 48. Plaintiff was then issued another false Notice of Disciplinary Action by Mr. Kovacs for not following the proper chain of command in notifying the police instead of Mr. Kovacs.
- 49. On the same day, November 19, 2014 Plaintiff was falsely issued another Notice of Disciplinary Action by Sean Kovacs for failing to show up for her shift on time and warning her supervisor that she was going to arrive later after the shift started.
- 50. Plaintiff was told by Ms. Lepre to call ASW the next day to see if work was available for her.
- Plaintiff did so and consistently called ASW for work after that date, but was always untruthfully told that no work was available locally.
- 52. Plaintiff was never contacted by ASW or Burpee and she eventually stopped calling ASW for work on or about December 19, 2014.
- Defendants and their agents humiliated, embarrassed, and subjected Plaintiff to mental distress and anguish through their deliberate discrimination and retaliation.

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 10 of 17

As a further result of Defendants' sexual discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff, she suffered lost pay and benefits and other monetary damages including the necessity of hiring an attorney to file this suit

COUNT I

Title VII - Sex Discrimination in Employment
(Sexual Harassment - Hostile Work Environment)
Plaintiff v. Defendants Burpee and ASW

- Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 54 as though set forth in full herein.
- 56. By the conduct of Burpee and ASW by and through their employees, set forth in the Statement of Facts above, Defendants each engaged in unlawful employment discrimination in violation of Section 703 (a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-2(a).
- Taken together, the acts outlined above constitute a hostile work environment based on gender.
 - (a) Plaintiff suffered the intentional discrimination outlined above because of her membership in a protected class female.
 - (b) Such discrimination was severe, pervasive, and regular.
 - (c) Such discrimination consisted in part of tangible employment actions and detrimentally affected the Plaintiff.
 - (d) Such discrimination would have detrimentally affected a reasonable person of the same protected class in Plaintiff's position.
 - (e) There existed in Defendants both direct and respondeat superior liability for the actions of their agents and employees.
- The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional.
- As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, loss of income, loss of benefits, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.
- 60. The above described acts and omissions of Defendants with regard to Plaintiff were egregious and were committed with a malicious, willful, and/or reckless indifference to the

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 11 of 17

federally protected rights of Plaintiff.

- Said acts and omissions of Defendants were committed by and through their management employees within their agency relationships with Defendants.
- 62. The extreme and outrageous acts and omissions of Defendants merit the imposition of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rosalene Isaacs prays that this Court:

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - i. back pay, front pay, and all lost benefits along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. punitive, liquidated, and compensatory damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain and suffering, anxiety, humiliation, physical injury, and emotional distress in order to compensate her for the injuries she has suffered and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by applicable Federal and Pennsylvania state statutes; and
 - iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT II

PHRA - Sex Discrimination in Employment
(Sexual Harassment - Hostile Work Environment)
Plaintiff v. Defendants Burpee and ASW

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 62 as though set forth in full herein.
- 64. By the conduct of Defendants Burpee and ASW by and through their employees, as set forth in the Statement of Facts above, Defendants each engaged in unlawful employment discrimination in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.C. Section 955.
- Taken together, the acts outlined above constitute a hostile work environment based on gender.
 - (a) Plaintiff suffered the intentional discrimination outlined above because of her membership in a protected class female.

- Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 12 of 17
- (b) Such discrimination was severe, pervasive, and regular.
- (c) Such discrimination consisted in part of tangible employment actions and detrimentally affected the Plaintiff.
- (d) Such discrimination would have detrimentally affected a reasonable person of the same protected class in her position.
- (e) There existed in Defendants both direct and respondeat superior liability for the actions of their agents and employees.
- The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional.
- 67. As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, loss of income, loss of benefits, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.
- 68. Defendants engaged in the unlawful employment practices outlined above with malice or reckless indifference to the state and municipality protected rights of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rosalene Isaac prays that this Court:

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - i. back pay, front pay, and all lost benefits along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. compensatory damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain and suffering, anxiety, humiliation, physical injury, and emotional distress in order to compensate her for the injuries she has suffered and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action and expenses as provided for by applicable Federal and Pennsylvania state statutes; and
 - iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT III

Retaliation - Title VII & PHRA
Plaintiff v. Defendants Burpee and ASW

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 13 of 17

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 68 as though set forth in full herein.
- 70. Plaintiff engaged in the opposition to unlawful employment practices, Defendants' described acts constituting violations of Title VII and the PHRA, by making statements in opposition to such treatment to management of both Defendants.
- 71. Further, on December 23, 2014 Plaintiff filed charges of sex discrimination and harassment with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.
- 72. As a direct result of her above actions Plaintiff suffered the discriminatory treatment by Defendants as described above, including but not limited to being harassed by Burpee and ASW employees, having her complaints of harassment to management go unaddressed, being given multiple false Notices of Disciplinary Action, and ultimately being terminated by Defendants.
- 73. By and through their actions, Defendants violated the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000(e), et seq. and the PHRA by retaliating against Plaintiff after she exercised her legal and protected rights to complain of discrimination.
- 74. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional.
- 75. Defendants engaged in the unlawful employment practices outlined above with malice or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 76. As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, loss of income, loss of benefits, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rosalene Isaac prays that this Court:

- (a) accept jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - i. back pay, front pay, and all lost benefits along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. punitive, liquidated, and compensatory damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain and suffering, anxiety, humiliation, physical injury and emotional distress in order to compensate her for the injuries she has suffered and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. reinstatement with applicable seniority, attorneys' fees, expert

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 14 of 17

witness fees, the costs of this action and expenses as provided for by applicable, Federal and Pennsylvania state statutes; and iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all counts.

Dated: 12/24/15

/s/ Albert J. Michell

Albert J. Michell, Esquire

Attorney ID: 76797

ALBERT J. MICHELL, P.C.

1819 JFK Boulevard, Suite 465

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Send correspondence to:

PO Box 4062

Philadelphia, PA 19118

Telephone: 215.922.2588

Validation of Signature Code: AJM4598

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 15 of 17

EXHIBIT "A"

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 16 of 17

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To: Rosalene Isaac 2027 N. 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19121			From: Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107			
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieve CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §160				
EEC	C Charge	e No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
530	-2015-0	00965	Legal Unit			(215) 440-2828
Notic	CE TO THI	E PERSON AGGRIEVED:		•		tion enclosed with this form.)
Act (been of you	GINA): issued our rece	This is your Notice of Right to	the Americans with Disability Sue, issued under Title VII, the ADA or Gunder Title based on this charge	he ADA or GINA INA must be file	N based on the above- ed in a federal or sta	te court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u>
	X	More than 180 days have page	assed since the filing of this cl	harge.		
		Less than 180 days have pa be able to complete its adm	assed since the filing of this chinistrative processing within 1	narge, but I have 80 days from the	e filing of this charge.	unlikely that the EEOC will
	X	The EEOC is terminating its	processing of this charge.			
		The EEOC will continue to p	process this charge.			
90 d	Discrimays after case:	The EEOC is closing your o	(ADEA): You may sue under ave completed action on the case. Therefore, your lawsuit of this Notice. Otherwise, you	under the ADEA	egard, the paragraph must be filed in fede	eral or state court <u>WITHIN</u>
		The EEOC is continuing its	handling of your ADEA case. or state court under the ADEA	However, if 60		
in fe	deral or s	state court within 2 years (3 ve	he right to sue under the EPA ears for willful violations) of the 2 years (3 years) before you	alleged EPA und	derpayment. I his mea) EPA suits must be brought ans that backpay due for
If you	u file suit	t, based on this charge, please	e send a copy of your court cor	nplaint to this off	ice.	
			On b	ehalf of the Com	nmission	
						10/16/15
End	closures	(s)	Spence	r H. Lewis, Jr.		(Date Mailed)
cc:		Taylor Fletcher, Esq. KAWALSKI, FLETCHER & K 3070 Bristol Pike, Building 2 Bensalem, PA 19020	IRKPATRICK, P.C. 2, Suite 204	ALBER PO Box	Michell, Esq. RT J MICHELL, PC x 4062 PA 19118	

EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

Phila, PA 19107

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Case 2:15-cv-06819-LDD Document 1 Filed 12/28/15 Page 17 of 17

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To:	Rosalene Isaac 2027 N. 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19121		From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107
	On behalf of person(s) aggi CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §			
EEO	C Charge No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.
530·	-2015-00960	Legal Unit		(215) 440-2828
		(See also	the additional information enclosed with this form.)
Notic	E TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:			
Act (0 been of yo	GINA): This is your Notice of Righ	ht to Sue, issued under Title VII, the ADA	a or GIN/ ust be fil	or the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination A based on the above-numbered charge. It has led in a federal or state court WITHIN 90 DAYS the time limit for filing suit based on a claim under
	X More than 180 days have	e passed since the filing of this charge.		
	Less than 180 days hav	e passed since the filing of this charge, administrative processing within 180 day	but I have s from th	e determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will e filing of this charge.
	X The EEOC is terminatin	g its processing of this charge.		
•	The EEOC will continue	to process this charge.		
90 da	Discrimination in Employment ays after you receive notice that we case:	Act (ADEA): You may sue under the Alve have completed action on the charge.	. 111 11115 1	ny time from 60 days after the charge was filed unti egard, the paragraph marked below applies to
	The EEOC is closing you go DAYS of your recei	our case. Therefore, your lawsuit under to the pt of this Notice. Otherwise, your right	the ADEA to sue b	A must be filed in federal or state court WITHIN ased on the above-numbered charge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continuing you may file suit in fede	g its handling of your ADEA case. Howe ral or state court under the ADEA at this	ver, if 60 time.	days have passed since the filing of the charge,
in for	foral or state court within 2 years (ive the right to sue under the EPA (filing a 3 years for willful violations) of the alleged than 2 years (3 years) before you file s	g EPA un	charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought derpayment. This means that backpay due for not be collectible.
If you	ı file suit, based on this charge, ple	ease send a copy of your court complaint	to this of	fice.
		@n behalf c	the Cor	mmission $/0/16/15$
Enc	osures(s)	Spencer H. Le District Di		(Date Mailed)
cc:	Lee A. Schwartz, Esq. Law Firm of Lee A. Schv 1325 Spruce Street	vartz:	ALBEI PO Bo	Michell, Esq. RT J MICHELL, PC x 4062

Phila, PA 19118